

DEXMEDITOMIDINE AS INTRATHECAL ADJUVANT TO ISOBARIC ROPIVACAINE IN SPINAL ANAESTHESIA FOR LOWER LIMB ORTHOPAEDIC SURGERIES – A RANDOMIZED DOUBLE BLIND CONTROLLED STUDY

Naesh kumar Tyagi¹, Arvind Kumar Gupta²

¹ - Assistant professor, Department of Anaesthesia, Pacific institute of medical sciences, Udaipur

² - Senior resident, Department of Anaesthesia, Pacific institute of medical sciences, Udaipur

Anaesthesia

Article Submitted on: 16
November 2018
Article Accepted on: 29
November 2018

Corresponding Author

Dr. Arvind Kumar Gupta
Senior resident,
Department of Anaesthesia,
Pacific institute of medical
sciences,
Udaipur

Abstract:

Knowledge & use of adjuvant drug therapy has rendered neuraxial analgesia more effective in the management of both acute and chronic pain conditions. α_2 adrenergic agonist dexmedetomidine have both analgesic and sedative properties when used as adjuvant in regional anaesthesia.

Aim – to study the effect of intrathecal dexmedetomidine added to ropivacaine for lower limb surgeries except hip & knee replacement under spinal anaesthesia.

Material & methods – this research study was conducted on 60 patients 30 in each group of ASA class I & II age group of 18-60 years of either sex posted for elective lower limb orthopaedic surgeries under spinal anaesthesia after taking informed consent & obtaining approval of institutional research & ethical committee. Patients were divided in 2 groups: Group R & Group RD.

Results – Mean peak sensory level was 7.13 ± 1.02 in group R and 7.17 ± 1.34 in Group RD but both groups were statistically comparable regarding mean sensory level ($P=0.598$). Mean bromage score was (2.87 ± 0.50) in group R and (2.85 ± 0.55) in group RD which was statistically comparable ($P=0.765$).

Time to reach maximum bromage score is significantly shorter in group RD (8.63 ± 1.37) min. as compare to Group R (10.17 ± 1.8) min. ($P=0.0$). However maximum fall in pulse rate was 13.7% (77.33 at 5 minutes) in group RD and 11.4% (80.80 at 15 min.) in group R.

Maximum fall in diastolic blood pressure was 9.66 & 9% in group RD (72.60 mm of Hg at 10 min.) and in group R (74.50 mm of Hg at 20 min) respectively

Keywords: Dexmedetomidine, Ropivacaine, intrathecal, spinal anaesthesia.

Introduction:

Quinke in 1891 demonstrated a state predictable means of performing lumbar puncture.¹ In 1899 August, Bier used Quinkes technique to inject cocaine in order to produce operative anaesthesia, the first real spinal anaesthesia.² The first

phase in history of spinal anaesthesia. In 1905, Heinrich Braun³ a German Surgeon, reported the use of procaine for operative spinal anaesthesia, means for controlling levels of anaesthesia by making procaine solutions hyperbaric by adding glucose, was first reported by Barker⁴ in 1907. Synthesis of Tetracaine in 1931 and its introduction in

clinical practice by Sise⁵ in 1935, synthesis of Dibucaine and its introduction in to clinical practice by Jones⁶ in 1930 popularized spinal anaesthesia.

By the mid 1940s, spinal anaesthesia had reached a peak of its popularity, which was soon followed by almost equally widespread avoidance and neglect. The pharmacological explosion in anaesthesia between 1945 and 1965 made spinal anaesthesia appear unnecessarily demanding, inconvenient and tedious, as well as medico-legally unsafe. Around 1965, spinal anaesthesia began a recovery that has persisted and even accelerated. Over the last 50 years due to its simplicity, minimum skill implementation, optimal operative condition, lowered risk of aspiration, low intra-operative blood loss, continued analgesia in the post-operative period and minimal postoperative morbidity.

In view of the wider application of regional anaesthetic procedure in modern anaesthesia practice, there is a need for local anaesthetic with desirable properties like longer duration of sensory blockade and lesser duration of motor paralysis. Ropivacaine is newer amide type of local anaesthetic having a lower potential for cardiac and central nervous system toxicity.

In ASA 'Practice guidelines for acute pain management in the peri-operative setting 'stresses on multimodal therapy with two or more analgesic agents or techniques used in combination for control of post operative pain. The final aim of this aspect of therapy would be seen as the complete relief of postoperative pain with no treatment related side effects. Various adjuvants that can be added to local anaesthetics and administered in central neuraxial blockade are opioid-Fentanyl, sufentanyl, α -2 agonists-dexmedetomidine, clonidine, benzodiazepines-midazolam, neostigmine and ketamine. Dexmedetomidine is a highly selective α -2 agonist with 8 times greater affinity than clonidine. There are fewer studies available for these drugs as adjuvants to 0.5% Ropivacaine. Dexmedetomidine reduces opioids and inhalation anaesthetic requirement and have been widely used for intensive care unit sedation with hemodynamic stability. Intrathecal α 2 receptor agonists have antinociceptive action for both somatic & visceral pain. We have conducted the research study on use of intrathecal combination of Dexmedetomidine with isobaric ropivacaine in lower limb surgery in orthopaedics except total hip & total knee replacement.

The aim of this study was to evaluate haemodynamic effects intraoperatively as well as to notify the duration of post operative analgesia.

Aims & objectives:

To study the effect of intrathecal Dexmedetomidine with ropivacaine in lower limb surgeries in orthopaedics.

Material & methods:

This study was conducted at RNT medical college Udaipur on 60 patients of ASA class I and Class II in the age group of 18-60 years of either sex posted for elective lower limb orthopaedic surgery under spinal anaesthesia after approval from institutional research and ethical committee and after valid informed written consent given by the patients.

Inclusion criteria:- ASA grade I and II patients, scheduled to undergo elective surgery under sub arachnoid block.

Randomization blindness of study and drug preparation:

This study was conducted in a randomized double blind fashion. All patients under study was subjected to a detailed pre-anaesthetic examination and investigation was carried out during this evaluation. Patients were randomly divided into two groups of 30 patients in each group using sealed envelop technique.

Group R:- patients were received 3 ml. 0.5% isobaric ropivacaine hydrochloride [Ropin 10ml. ampoule (50mg. ml) neon laboratories limited].

Group RD:- patients were received 3 ml. 0.5% isobaric ropivacaine with 5 μ g Dexmedetomidine hydrochloride [DEXTOMID 1 ml. ampoule (100 μ g/ml) neon laboratories limited].

3ml (15mg) of isobaric ropivacaine was mixed with dexmedetomidine (5 μ g) according to randomly selected patient group R or RD (closed envelop method). Dexmedetomidine was drawn in a standard 1 ml BD syringe (100 parts = 100 μ g) with 5 parts for 5 μ g. Thus intrathecal volume was 3 ml in group R and 3.05 ml in Group RD

making no apparently significant volume difference.

The study solution was prepared in 5 ml. syringe by an anaesthesiologist who had performed subarachnoid block and was not involved further in study. Another anaesthesiologist who was conducting the study himself had recorded all the data and was not aware of group allocation.

Spinal anaesthesia technique:

After preparation PAC & preoperative medication, Lumbar puncture was performed at L₃ – L₄, L₄ – L₅ interspace under all aseptic precautions and drug was injected as per group allocation. The time of end of intrathecal injection was taken as time – 0 for further data recording. All further data was recorded as per proforma by anaesthesiologist who was not aware of group allocation.

Observations:

Table 1: Comparison of age (Year) in both group

Age	Group R (n=30)	Group RD (n=30)	P- Value
18-30	13(43.33%)	12(40%)	0.92
31-40	10(33.33%)	5(16.67%)	
41-60	7(23.33%)	13(43.33%)	
Range	20-55	22-55	
Mean±SD	36.56±12.95	36.33±11.70	

Test used: T-test

Table 2: Comparison of ASA status in both group

ASA	Group R (n=30)	Group RD (n=30)	P-value
Grade I	24(80%)	26(86.66%)	0.582
Grade II	6(20%)	4(13.33%)	

Test used: T-test

Table 3: Comparison of sex in both groups

Sex	Group R (n=30)	Group RD (n=30)	P value
Male	27(90%)	25(83.33%)	0.92
Female	3(10%)	5(16.66%)	

Test used: T-test

Table 4: Comparison of peak sensory level

		Group R (n=30)	Group RD (n=30)	P-value
Patients distribution according to peak sensory level n (%)	T6	4(13.33%)	5(16.66%)	0.488
	T7	13(43.33%)	15(50%)	
	T8	8(26.66%)	6(20%)	
	T9	5(16.66)	4(13.33%)	
Mean±SD		7.46±0.93	7.30±0.91	
Range		T6-T9	T6-T9	
Median		T7	T7	

Test used: T-test

Table 5: Motor block characteristics

Motor block characteristics	Maximum Bromage score (5 min after SAB)		
	Group R (n=30)	Group RD (n=30)	P value
0	0	0	0.561
1	0	0	
2	2(6.66%)	1(3.33%)	
3	28 (93.33%)	29(96.66%)	
Mean±SD	2.93±0.25	2.96±0.18	
Onset of motor block (min) mean	10.17±1.8	8.63±1.37	0.00
Range	8-14	6-10	
Return to max. Bromage score 0 (min)	143.67±10.33	244.83±9.92	0.00
Range	130-160	240-250	

Test used: T-test

Table 6: Comparison of pulse rate in both groups

Pulse rate	Group R	Group RD	P-value
Pre op.	90.40±9.40	89.69±10.79	0.751
At 5 min	80.85±9.06	77.33±8.59*	0.134
10 min	80.86±7.95	80.73±8.62	0.975
15 min	80.80±9.38*	81.40±9.67	0.808
20 min	82.07±8.82	79.43±8.97	0.256
25 min	84.00±11.22	82.93±9.29	0.531
30 min	83.17±11.01	83.30±9.51	0.960
45 min	85.40±9.40	83.83±10.79	0.551
60 min	85.80±10.01	83.53±11.12	0.410
75 min	85.90±8.65	84.60±11.64	0.625
90min	86.53±9.54	85.87±11.65	0.809
120 min	85.73±11.06	85.20±10.80	0.851
150 min	84.27±9.83	85.53±11.62	0.650
180min	84.03±10.72	84.07±10.47	0.728
210min	83.10±9.92	83.37±10.95	0.922
240min	82.43±10.33	82.80±11.17	0.895
270min	81.20±7.69	82.43±10.33	0.602
300min	81.30±7.00	79.67±10.34	0.477
330min	83.17±11.01	83.30±9.51	0.960

Test used=T-test

Table 7: Comparison of diastolic blood pressure in both groups

Time	Group R	Group RD	P-value
Pre op.	81.00±4.98	80.27±5.84	0.60
At 5 min	77.00±6.04	75.73±5.87	0.40
10 min	75.13±7.60	72.60±7.59*	0.20
15 min	74.60±6.86	73.70±6.04	0.59
20 min	74.50±6.07*	75.80±6.24	0.41
25 min	74.83±5.46	76.03±4.95	0.37
30 min	75.93±4.53	76.03±5.22	0.93
45 min	75.70±4.81	77.20±5.21	0.25
60 min	75.83±4.56	77.50±4.53	0.16
75 min	76.70±4.72	77.57±5.41	0.51
90min	78.43±4.08	78.80±4.90	0.754
120 min	78.57±4.48	78.70±4.92	0.913
150 min	76.53±4.46	77.57±3.15	0.304
180min	76.50±3.43	77.07±3.00	0.499
210min	78.03±2.86	78.07±2.38	0.961
240min	77.30±3.14	78.07±2.60	0.307
270min	76.90±3.52	77.93±2.49	0.194
300min	78.73±5.10	79.00±4.57	0.832
330min	76.50±3.43	77.07±3.00	0.499

Test used=T-test

Results:

Mean peak sensory level was 7.13±1.02 in group R and 7.17±1.34 in Group RD but both groups were statistically comparable regarding mean sensory level (P= 0.598). Mean bromage score was (2.87±0.50) in group R and (2.85±0.55) in group RD which was statistically comparable (P= 0.765).

Time to reach maximum bromage score is significantly shorter in group RD (8.63±1.37) min. as compare to Group R (10.17±1.8) min. (P=0.0). However maximum fall in pulse rate was 13.7% (77.33 at 5 minutes) in group RD and 11.4% (80.80 at 15 min.) in group R.

Maximum fall in diastolic blood pressure was 9.66 & 9 % in group RD (72.60 mm of Hg at 10 min.) and in group R (74.50 mm of Hg at 20 min) respectively.

Discussion:

Spinal anaesthesia is most preferred technique for lower lib surgical procedures. Ropivacaine is a first single enantiomer specific compound, introduced to the clinical practice in 1996 with reduced risk of cardiac toxicity, neurotoxicity and rapid recovery of function.⁷⁻¹¹

Ropivacaine was approved for a new route of administration, intrathecal route, in the European union in February 2004.¹²

Intrathecal α_2 adrenoceptor agonist (Dexmedetomidine) produce analgesia by depressing the release of C-fibre transmitters and by hyperpolarization of post synaptic dorsal horn neurons.^{13,14} This anti-nociceptive effect may explain the prolongation of the sensory block when added to spinal anaesthetics.

So we planned this study by using Dexmedetomidine as an adjuvant to ropivacaine in spinal anaesthesia and studied its effect on onset and duration of sensory and motor blockade, hemodynamic stability and incidence of side effects if any.

In our study 27 males, 3 females and 24 (80%) patients of ASA I and 6 (20%) patients of ASA II respectively in Group R, while in group RD males, 5 females and 26 (56.55%) patients of ASA I and 4 (13.33%) patients of ASA II respectively, and found that this data was statistically comparable between two groups (P>0.05).

It was in accordance with previous studies conducted by Gupta R et al¹⁵, Shukla D et al¹⁶ Mahendra et al and Al Mustfa MM et al¹⁸. In our study we used 3mg of 0.5% Ropivacaine with 5 μ g Dexmedetomidine.

Similarly Gupta R et al¹⁵ used 3ml of 0.75% isobaric Ropivacaine plus 5 μ g Dexmedetomidine in patients undergoing lower limb surgery sensory block characteristics.

Onset of sensory block:

In our study sensory onset time was measured as time to reach to T₁₀ sensory level and time to reach peak sensory level.

Time to reach peak sensory level ranged from T₆-T₉ with median value of T₇ in both groups. Mean peak sensory level was 7.46±0.93 in group R and 7.30±0.91 in group RD, which was statistically comparable (P=0.488).

Gupta R et al¹⁵ conducted the study using 3ml of 0.75% isobaric ropivacaine and 3 ml of 0.75% isobaric ropivacaine plus 5 μ g dexmedetomidine in patients undergoing lower limb surgery in spinal anaesthesia and found that peak sensory level was statistically comparable in both groups (P=>0.05).

Motor block:

Duration of Motor Block: in our study duration of motor block is defined as return of Bromage score to B⁰, it was 143.67±10.33 min in group R and 244±9.92 min. in group RD, which was significantly prolonged in group RD (p=0.00).

Al-Mustafa MM et al¹⁸ conducted the study using 12.5mg of hyperbaric bupivacaine plus 5µg dexmedetomidine in patients undergoing urological surgery in spinal anaesthesia and found that duration of motor block was 302.9±86.7 in group D₁₀, 246.4±25.7 min in group D₅ and 140.1±32.3 min in bupivacaine group which was significantly prolonged in group D₁₀ and D₅ as compared to bupivacaine group (P=0.00).

Haemodynamic changes:

Haemodynamic changes, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure and heart rate were comparable with study conducted by Kanazi et al¹⁹.

Side effects:

In our study only observed side effect was hypotension, nausea/vomiting & bradycardia after spinal anaesthesia. These side effects were statistically comparable in both groups.

Conclusion:

Present study denotes that addition of dexmedetomidine (5µg) to ropivacaine 15mg (0.5% isobaric) was associated with early onset and prolonged duration of sensory and motor blockade with haemodynamically stability and long duration of postoperative analgesia without incidence of post operative complications as compare to ropivacaine alone intrathecally.

References:

1. Quincke HI. Introduction of the lumbar puncture. Verhandlungen des Congresses für Innere Medizin, 1891; Wiesbaden 10: 321–331.
2. Bier A. Versuche fiber Cocainisierung des Rückenmarkes. Deutsche Zeitschrift für Chirurgie, 1899; 51: 361–9.
3. Michael J. Cousins. Cousins and Bridenbaugh's Neural Blockade in Clinical Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. 1998; 4:8
4. Michael J. Cousins, Phillip O. Bridenbaugh. Neural blockade in clinical anesthesia and management of pain, 1998; 494: 204
5. Sise LF. Pontocain-glucose solution for spinal anesthesia. Surgery Clinic North America, 1935; 15: 1501-11.
6. Howard Jones W. Spinal analgesia-a new method and a new drug-percaine. Br. J. Anesth., 1930; 799-113; 7146-56
7. Leone S, Di Cianni S, Casati A, et al. Pharmacology, toxicology, and clinical use of new long acting local anesthetics, ropivacaine and levobupivacaine. Acta Biomed 2008;79:92–105.
8. JH McClure. Ropivacaine, review article. BJA 1996;76:300-307
9. Gaurav Kuthiala and Geeta Chaudhary. Ropivacaine: A review of its pharmacology and clinical use Indian J Anaesth. 2011 Mar-Apr; 55(2): 104–110.
10. Rosenberg PH. Differential sensitivity of A and C fibres to long acting amide local anesthetics. BJA 1983;55:163-167.
11. Wahedi W, Nolte H, Klein P: Ropivacaine for spinal anesthesia: a dose-finding study. Anaesthesist 1996; 45: 737–744.
12. Gautier PE, DE Kock M et al: Intrathecal ropivacaine for ambulatory surgery, a comparison between intrathecal bupivacaine and ropivacaine for knee arthroscopy Anaesthesiology 1999; 91; 1239-45.
13. Lawhead RG, Blaxall HS, Bylund BD. Alpha-2A is the predominant α-2 adrenergic receptor subtype in human spinal cord. Anesthesiology 1992; 77: 983–91.

14. Fairbanke CA, Wilcox GL. Spinal antinociceptive synergism between morphine and clonidine persists in mice made acutely or chronically tolerant to morphine. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 1999; 288: 1107–16.
15. Gupta R, Bogra J, Verma R, Kohali M, kushwhaha J, kumar S; Dexmedetomidine as an intrathecaladjvent for postoperative analgesia; *Indian J Anaesth* 2011;55:347-51.
16. Shukla D, Verma A, Agarwal A, Pandey HD, Tyagi C Comparative study of intrathecal dexmedetomidine with intrathecal magnesium sulfate used as adjuvants to bupivacaine. *J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol*. 2011 Oct; 27(4):495-9.
17. Mahendru V, Tewari A, Katyal S, Grewal A, Singh MR, Katyal R. A comparison of intrathecal dexmedetomidine, clonidine, and fentanyl as adjuvants to hyperbaric bupivacaine for lower limb surgery: A double blind controlled study. *Clin Anaesth* 2013; 4:496- 502.
18. Al-Mustafa MM, Abu-Halaweh SA, Aloweidi AS, Murshidi MM, Ammari BA, Awwad ZM, Al-Edwan GM, Ramsay MA; Effect of dexmedetomidine added to spinal bupivacaine for urological procedures. *Saudi Med J*. 2009 Mar; 30(3):365-70.
19. Kanazi GE, Aouad MT, Jabbour-Khoury SI, Al Jazzar MD, Alameddine MM, et al. Effect of low-dose dexmedetomidine or clonidine on the characteristics of bupivacaine spinal block. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 2006;50:222-7.